

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**AVIONIQS, LLC,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., and  
US AIRWAYS, INC.,**

Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-371

**PATENT CASE**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

---

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Avioniqs, LLC files this Complaint against Defendants American Airlines, Inc., and US Airways, Inc., for infringement of United States Patent Nos. 5,771,020 (the “’020 Patent”) and 7,688,214 (the “’214 Patent”).

**PARTIES AND JURISDICTION**

1. This is an action for patent infringement under Title 35 of the United States Code. Plaintiff is seeking injunctive relief as well as damages.

2. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (Federal Question) and 1338(a) (Patents) because this is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the United States patent statutes.

3. Plaintiff Avioniqs, LLC (“Plaintiff” or “Avioniqs”) is a Texas limited liability company with its principal office located in Texas, at 719 W. Front Street, Suite 211, Tyler, Texas 75702.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant American Airlines, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with a principal office located at 4333 Amon Carter Blvd., Ft. Worth, Texas 76155.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant US Airways, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with a principal office located at 4000 E. Sky Harbor Blvd., Phoenix, Arizona 85034.

6. American Airlines, Inc. and US Airways, Inc. are collectively referred to as “Defendants.”

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants have committed, and continue to commit, acts of infringement in the state of Texas, have conducted business in the state of Texas, and/or have engaged in continuous and systematic activities in the state of Texas, at least in connection with Defendants’ flights into and out of DFW Airport.

8. Defendants have used and continue to use the Accused Products (as defined below) in the Northern District of Texas, at least in connection with Defendants’ flights into and out of DFW Airport.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendants are related companies that are both part of a common corporate family and both are subsidiaries of American Airlines Group, Inc., and therefore their joinder as co-defendants is proper in this case.

### **VENUE**

10. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b) because Defendants are deemed to reside in this district. In addition, and in the alternative, Defendants have committed acts of infringement in this district.

### **COUNT I** **(INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 5,771,020)**

11. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 10 herein by reference.

12. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

13. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of the '020 Patent with sole rights to enforce the '020 Patent and sue infringers.

14. A copy of the '020 Patent, titled "Lightning Locating System," is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

15. The '020 Patent is valid and enforceable, and it was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

16. The '020 Patent is a prominent patent in the field of weather radar systems. This is evidenced in part by the extent to which the '020 Patent has been forward-cited as prior art in connection with the examination of subsequently-issued U.S. patents. The '020 Patent has been forward-cited in more than 30 subsequently-issued U.S. patents to date, including patents originally assigned to such prominent companies and institutions as Rockwell Collins, GE, Nokia, L-3Communications Avionics Systems, and Johns Hopkins University. The '020 Patent has also been forward-cited in U.S. patents issued to the United States of America, as represented by the Secretary of the Navy, the Secretary of Commerce, and NASA.

**(Direct Infringement)**

17. Upon information and belief, Defendants have infringed and continue to directly infringe one or more claims of the '020 Patent, including at least claim 19, by using weather radar systems including lightning location detection systems (the "Accused Products for the '020 Patent").

18. Defendants' actions complained of herein are causing irreparable harm and monetary damage to Plaintiff and will continue to do so unless and until Defendants are enjoined and restrained by this Court.

19. Plaintiff is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287.

**COUNT II**  
**(INFRINGEMENT OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,688,214)**

20. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 10 herein by reference.

21. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

22. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of the ‘214 Patent with sole rights to enforce the ‘214 Patent and sue infringers.

23. A copy of the ‘214 Patent, titled “Weather Warning System and Method,” is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

24. The ‘214 Patent is valid and enforceable, and it was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

**(Direct Infringement)**

25. Upon information and belief, Defendants have infringed and continue to directly infringe one or more claims of the ‘214 Patent, including at least claim 12, by using weather radar systems for detecting the occurrence of a weather condition (the “Accused Products for the ‘214 Patent” and, collectively with the Accused Products for the ‘020 Patent, the “Accused Products”).

26. Defendants’ actions complained of herein are causing irreparable harm and monetary damage to Plaintiff and will continue to do so unless and until Defendants are enjoined and restrained by this Court.

27. Plaintiff is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of all issues so triable by right.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to:

- a) Enter judgment for Plaintiff on this Complaint on all causes of action asserted herein;
- b) Enjoin Defendants, their agents, officers, servants, employees, attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with either Defendant who receive notice of the order from further infringement of United States Patent No. 5,771,020 (or, in the alternative, awarding Plaintiff a running royalty from the time of judgment going forward);
- c) Enjoin Defendants, their agents, officers, servants, employees, attorneys and all persons in active concert or participation with either Defendant who receive notice of the order from further infringement of United States Patent No. 7,688,214 (or, in the alternative, awarding Plaintiff a running royalty from the time of judgment going forward);
- d) Award Plaintiff damages resulting from Defendants' infringement in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- e) Declare this an "exceptional case" pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff its attorney's fees and any other appropriate relief;
- f) Award Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs; and
- g) Award Plaintiff such further relief to which the Court finds Plaintiff entitled under law or equity.

Dated: February 6, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Craig Tadlock  
Craig Tadlock  
State Bar No. 00791766  
John J. Harvey, Jr.  
State Bar No. 09179770  
TADLOCK LAW FIRM PLLC  
2701 Dallas Parkway, Suite 360  
Plano, Texas 75093  
214-785-6014  
craig@tadlocklawfirm.com  
john@tadlocklawfirm.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Avioniqs, LLC*